Proposed Noise Ordinance Revision Process

1. Agree to take a collaborative approach to the revision process. This means that all related meetings/discussions will be equitable, all voices will be heard, and the final "product" will incorporate the opinions of all who participate.

2. Engage a trained facilitator to be present to moderate all general meetings.

3. Identify the individuals who are interested in participating in the noise ordinance revision process.

4. Make sure that we have captured all interested parties by sending an open invitation to the contacts on record for each of the registered neighborhoods.

5. Hold a kick-off meeting during which we will identify the purpose for the revision. It sounds like we are in agreement that the purpose will be linked to health and wellbeing. Along these lines, identify the sections of the Comprehensive Plan that speak to a healthy community. I can pull this list together.

6. During the kickoff meeting, identify all elements that should be addressed by the ordinance. Perhaps start by identifying the causes of various types of noises since each will require a different approach.

7. Break the group out into teams, with each team responsible for one element of the discussion. Set a deadline for each group to share what they have come up with, making this information available for review by all parties prior to another "general" meeting.

8. After the second general session the break-out groups may have to reconvene to make updates to their proposed content.

9. After reaching an agreement as to what content should exist in the ordinance, share these details with Development Services (DSD, Ben Woody & Chris Collins), along with a list of those who collaborated on creating the revision content.

10. Ben Woody & Chris Collins would then share the proposed ordinance content with the other interested parties, soliciting their feedback:

    a. City businesses;

    b. Police department

    c. City attorney(s)

11. Proposed content would be shared during a PED (Planning & Economic Development) meeting.

    a. Feedback, requested changes, would first be reviewed by the resident group. Resulting updates would thereafter move through the other interested parties, as outlined in step 10.

Note: This approach does not require a survey to get started, which inherently excludes some opinions. Instead it takes the approach that all points are valid and that all interested parties have the option to participate.